

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Affirms Gainesville Regional Utilities, FL's Revs at 'A+'; Outlook Stable

Wed 22 May, 2024 - 12:59 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - New York - 22 May 2024: Fitch Ratings has affirmed the ratings on the following bonds issued by the city of Gainesville, FL on behalf of Gainesville Regional Utilities (GRU):

--Approximately \$1.8 billion in outstanding utility system revenue bonds at 'A+'.

Fitch has assessed the system's standalone credit profile (SCP) at 'a+'. The SCP represents the credit profile of the utility on a standalone basis, irrespective of its relationship with and the credit quality of the city of Gainesville, FL (Issuer Default Rating [IDR] 'AA'/Stable).

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT \$	BT \$ RATING \$			PRIOR \$
Gainesville (FL) [Utilities]				
Gainesville (FL) /Utility System Revenues/1 LT	LT	A+ Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	A+ Rating Outlook Stable

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

The 'A+' bonds rating and SCP reflect GRU's strong financial profile in the context of its very strong revenue defensibility and strong operating risk profile. The combined utility system's very strong revenue defensibility is supported by its monopolistic position as an essential services provider to a service area with favorable demand characteristics, coupled with autonomous rate-setting ability and

We use cookies to deliver our online services, to understand how they are used and for advertising purposes. Details of the cookies we use and instructions on how to disable them are set out in our Privacy Policy.

needs of system assets.

GRU's financial profile primarily reflects the utility's leverage ratio, calculated as net adjusted debt to adjusted funds available for debt service (FADS), which has historically exceeded 10x and increased to 11.9x in FY 2023, following a \$150 million debt issuance to fund capital projects through 2025. Although leverage was elevated in FY 2023, Fitch believes the utility' financial plan, which includes base rate increases for the electric and wastewater businesses through 2027 and a significant reduction of the general fund transfer payment beginning in 2024, will result in near-term improvement in the utility's financial metrics.

Based on Fitch's scenario analysis, leverage is expected to decline below 10x in both the base case and rating case by FY 2024 and trend toward 9x thereafter. The current ratings and Stable Outlook remain tied to expectations of lower future leverage, which is contingent on GRU's ability to execute its current financial plan, including approved reductions to the utility's general fund transfer.

The rating also incorporates Fitch's expectation that the utility's transition in governance structure from city commission oversight to that of a five-member independent board should not preclude repayment of existing debt & contractual obligations, materially change the operational practices of the utility nor prevent the utility from executing its previously-established financial plan. The five-member independent board was appointed on May 16th, 2024.

SECURITY

The bonds are secured by a first lien on the net revenues of GRU, which includes the combined electric, gas, water, wastewater and telecom systems (collectively, the system).

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Defensibility - 'aa'

Very Strong Revenue Defensibility

GRU's revenue defensibility assessment reflects the very strong revenue framework through the provision of monopolistic services to a growing service area, a strong local economy and independent ability to adjust rates. Customer growth trends have been solid and the city's unemployment rate remains below the national average.

The service territory extends into the county with roughly 40% of the customer base residing outside the city of Gainesville's limits. The customer base is well diversified and exhibits no concentration. The strong local economy Is anchored by the University of Florida, but the university does not receive

income (MHI). Rates will rise over the next several years, which could pressure affordability over time. Retail rates for the other utility services are competitive.

Operating Risk - 'a'

Low Cost Burden, Diverse Resources

The utility's strong operating risk assessment reflects the electric system's low operating cost, which was 12.7 cents/KWh in FY 2023. Historically, GRU's operating cost burden has ranged between 12.5 cents/kWh and 13.5 cents/kWh, demonstrating relative stability of costs amid recent increases in fuel costs and natural gas prices in 2021 and 2022. GRU's diverse power supply portfolio includes owned resources of natural gas, diesel, coal, and biomass plants.

The utility continues to review its resource portfolio and will publish an integrated resource plan (IRP) later in 2024. The utility is actively reducing emissions by investing in its owned resources through modernization, gasification, and retrofits of diesel and coal units. GRU further maintains adequate water supply, and treatment capacity remains sufficient at both the water and wastewater facilities.

While the IRP will be published later this year, GRU's current estimated capital needs of \$570 million through 2028 are manageable and will be funded with a combination of remaining 2023 bond proceeds, excess cash flows, and future debt issuance.

Financial Profile - 'a'

Strong Financial Profile; High Leverage to Decline

GRU's financial profile is assessed as strong despite currently high leverage at 11.9x in fiscal 2023. Additionally, the strong financial profile also incorporates Fitch's view that combined utility systems have additional leverage headroom relative to electric-only service-providers. Coverage of full obligations remains in excess of 1x at 1.48x, and liquidity adequate at 193 days cash on hand. When factoring GRU's lines of credit, the liquidity cushion rises to 325 days.

Leverage increased from 9.5x in 2022 to 11.9x primarily due to \$150 million in debt issuance to fund capital needs. Despite the elevated leverage metric in 2023, Fitch anticipates that leverage will decline below 10x based on improved financial performance and limited future debt issuances. GRU's forecast includes electric & wastewater base rate increases, that are subject to board approval, through 2027.

Based on future rate increases, coupled with significantly reduced general fund transfers beginning in 2024, the utility expects to generate excess cash flow that will primarily be used to accelerate debt

There are no additional asymmetric risks affecting the rating.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- --Failure to reduce leverage to near or below 10.0x on a sustained basis in Fitch's rating case scenario;
- --Ineffective collaboration between GRU and the new board resulting in a failure to implement planned rate increases, and reductions in the general fund transfer, and/or deviation from existing financial plan or policies that weakens financial performance
- --Sustained increase in the operating cost burden to above 16 cents / kWh that leads to a lower operating risk profile assessment.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

--Further deleveraging that leads to a leverage ratio consistently below 8.0x in Fitch's rating case scenario.

PROFILE

GRU provides retail electric, gas, water, wastewater and telecommunications services to approximately 281,000 total customers across the five utility systems. GRU's vertically integrated electric utility is the largest system, accounting for over two-thirds of total system revenues. The water (8% of total revenues), wastewater (11%) and gas systems serve territories similar to (and overlapping) the electric system. Each utility is self-supporting and exhibits no customer concentration.

Fitch considers GRU to be a related entity to the city of Gainesville for rating purposes as GRU is a utility enterprise fund of the city and makes annual transfer payments to the city's general fund. The city is the issuer of GRU's bonds, but the credit quality of the city does not currently constrain GRU's ratings. However, as a result of being a related entity, GRU's ratings could become constrained by a material decline in the general credit quality of the city.

GRU was previously governed by the Gainesville City Commission, but following the passage of CS/HB 1645, a five-member independent board known as the Gainesville Regional Utilities Authority (GRUA) was established. The GRUA board was most recently appointed on May 16th 2024 and will assume the previous responsibilities of the commission including approving rates for GRU, collaborating on the budgeting process.

Sources of Information

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Andrew DeStefano

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+12129080284

andrew.destefano@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

Hearst Tower 300 W. 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Khafre Newton

Senior Analyst
Secondary Rating Analyst
+1 646 582 4106
khafre.newton@fitchratings.com

Dennis Pidherny

Managing Director
Committee Chairperson
+1 212 908 0738
dennis.pidherny@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Sandro Scenga

New York

+12129080278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

We use cookies to deliver our online services, to understand how they are used and for advertising purposes. Details of the cookies we use and instructions on how to disable them are set out in our Privacy Policy.

the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

U.S. Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 12 Jan 2024) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

U.S. Public Power Rating Criteria (pub. 08 Mar 2024) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

APPLICABLE MODELS

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

FAST Econometric API - Fitch Analytical Stress Test Model, v3.0.0 (1)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Gainesville (FL)

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see Best- and Worst-Case Measures under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies

shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.